

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

At a Regular Term of the Supreme Court of Appeals, continued and held at Charleston, Kanawha County, on April 27, 2016, the following order was made and entered:

IN RE: PETITION FOR REINSTATEMENT OF MARK A. BRAMBLE,
A SUSPENDED MEMBER OF THE WEST VIRGINIA STATE BAR

No. 14-0867

ORDER

On November 7, 2014, came Mark A. Bramble ("Petitioner"), a suspended member of The West Virginia State Bar, by counsel Trent A. Redman, Redman & Payne PLLC, pursuant to Rule 3.32 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, and presented to the Court his petition for reinstatement of his license to practice law in the State of West Virginia.

Thereafter, on April 15, 2016, the Hearing Panel Subcommittee of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board, by Steven K. Nord, its chairperson, pursuant to Rule 3.32(c) of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, presented to the Court its written report and recommendation, recommending that the petitioner's license to practice law in the State of West Virginia be reinstated with certain conditions¹.

Finally, on April 25, 2016, the petitioner, by counsel, and the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, by Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti, Chief Lawyer Disciplinary Counsel, filed statements of no objection to the Hearing Panel Subcommittee recommendation.

Upon consideration of the recommendation of the Hearing Panel Subcommittee, the Court is of the opinion to and does hereby adopt the recommendation and the petition for

¹ The Hearing Panel Subcommittee also recommends in the report that the ethics complaint against petitioner [I.D. No. 13-03-375] be dismissed without a finding of misconduct. A statement of charges in that matter has not been filed with the Court. Therefore, the Court defers entry of a decision in that matter to the Investigative Panel of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board pursuant to Rule 2.9, Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure.

reinstatement is granted with certain conditions, as set forth herein. It is therefore ORDERED that the petitioner, Mark A. Bramble², shall be, and he hereby is, reinstated to the practice of law in the State of West Virginia subject to the conditions set forth herein.

Petitioner is ORDERED placed on probation for a two-year period and during that two-year period: (1) Petitioner's practice of law shall be supervised by an attorney in good standing with the West Virginia State Bar who is agreed upon by the petitioner and the Office of Disciplinary Counsel to serve as the supervising attorney; (2) Petitioner is directed to meet with the supervising attorney on a monthly basis and the supervising attorney shall agree to and file reports on a quarterly basis with the Office of Disciplinary Counsel; (3) Petitioner's practice is limited and he is prohibited from representing clients in cases that involve violence against children; whether by court appointment or privately retained; (4) Petitioner is directed to refrain from consuming alcohol; and (5) Petitioner is ordered to continue regular counseling, as recommended by Dr. Saar and Petitioner shall execute an authorization that permits Dr. Saar to submit quarterly reports to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.

Finally, petitioner is ORDERED to pay the costs associated with this matter.

Service of a copy of this order upon all parties herein shall constitute sufficient notice of the contents herein.

A True Copy

Attest: //s// Rory L. Perry II
Clerk of Court



² It is noted that the petitioner Mark A. Bramble, is improperly referred to as the respondent throughout the conditions as listed by the Hearing Panel Subcommittee in its report.